#### Modeling the Greenhouse Gas Emissions Impacts of Refrigeration Systems

A. S. (Ed) Cheng Associate Professor, Mechanical Engineering San Francisco State University





# **SFSU School of Engineering**

 San Francisco State University (SFSU) Industrial Assessment Center (IAC):



provides energy-efficiency audits for small- to medium-sized manufacturing facilities

- Education in HVAC engineering, building energy simulation
- Life cycle assessment (LCA) experience with bio-derived transportation fuels



## **California ARB Research Project**

"Low-GWP Commercial Refrigeration Feasibility and Cost-Benefit Engineering Evaluation"

- Objective: determine the feasibility, cost, and greenhouse gas (GHG) reduction benefits of using low-global warming potential (GWP) refrigeration systems in supermarkets and grocery stores
- Subcontractors:



• Project scheduled to begin in August 2014



## Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Emissions from Refrigeration Systems

 <u>Direct</u> release of refrigerants into the atmosphere



Source: Fluke Corporation

 <u>Indirect</u> emissions associated with energy consumption





# **TEWI vs. LCCP**

#### <u>Total Equivalent</u> <u>Warming Impact</u>

Considers direct and indirect GHG emissions that occur:

- while refrigeration system is in use
- during recovery/recycling of refrigerant (at system end-of-life)

#### Life Cycle Climate Performance

TEWI, *plus* direct and indirect GHG emissions from:

- Refrigerant production and distribution
- Component/system manufacturing, distribution, and recovery/recycling



#### **Total Equivalent Warming Impact**





#### Life Cycle Climate Performance



# **Modeling Challenges**

- Must be predictive i.e., cannot rely on in-use data for electricity consumption, leak repair, refrigerant recharge, etc.
- Should be capable of modeling advancedtechnology systems and alternative refrigerants
- Must address impacts of varying (local) climates on refrigeration system operation
- Requires accurate input data or calculation methodology for refrigerant leak rates



## Existing Modeling Tools: IPU Pack Calculation Pro

#### TEWI only; evaluates costs as well

III Pack Calculation Pro				
File Options Help				
1. Setup systems 2. Calculate 3. Economy 4. Report				
Add system Copy system Delete system Rename system				
System 1 (reference)				
System configuration Suction side Discharge side				
Reference system	System 1, MT Refrigerant: R744			
One stage Two one stage Cascade Two stage Heat pump MT Options	Select compressors from database			
Two stage transcritical Flooded evaporators				
Two stage open intercooler	Compressors:			
Two stage liquid injection	⊖ ⊕ Bitzer 4FTC-20K			
	Bitzer 4FTC-20K			
	Pack capacity Oe/Oc: 169.3 kW / 272.6 kW			
	At Custom, MBP (Te/Pgc = -10.0 °C / 95.0 bar)			
	Sustem 1   T			
	Refrigerant: R744			
	Select compressors from database			
	Compressors:			
	⊖ (E) Bitzer 2EC-6.2K			
	⊖ ⓑ Bitzer 2EC-6.2K			
	Pack capacity Qe/Qc: 60.6 kW / 73.8 kW			
	At Custom, LBP (Te/Tc = -35.0 / -10.0 °C)			



## Existing Modeling Tools: AHRTI'S LCCP Model

Spreadsheet based, LCCP; developed for residential heatpump systems

	А	B	C			
1	Calculation Settings					
2						
3	TMY3 Data Folder Path	C:\AHRTI LCCP HP\tmy3data				
4						
5						
6	Input Parameters					
7	Case Number	1				
8	Case Name System A					
9	Location SAN FRANCISCO, CA					
10	Refrigerant R410A					
11	HP Data Worksheet	HPData-SS-FF-EN				
12	Results Output Sheet	Results				
14						
15						
16						
17						
18	Summary Results					
19	Status	Success				
20	Total Lifetime Emission [kg CO2-Eq.]	51849				
21	Total Direct Emission [kg CO2-Eq.]	8524				
22	Emission - Ref. Leakage [kg CO2-Eq.]	7103				
23	Emission - Ref. Loss at EOL [kg CO2-Eq.]	1421				
24	Emission - Decomposition [kg CO2-Eq.]	0				
25	Total Indirect Emissions [kg CO2-Eq.]	43325				
26	Emissions - Energy Consumption [kg CO2-Eq.]	42785				
27	Emissions - Equipment Mfg[kg CO2-Eq.] 517					
28	Emissions - Equipment EOL [kg CO2-Eq.] 23					
29	TMY3 Location	SAN FRANCISCO INTL AP				



## Existing Modeling Tools: LCCP (ORNL/UMCP)

# For supermarket refrigeration and residential heat pumps; web-based tool available

Life Cycle Climate Performance - Supermarket Refrigeration							
LCCP INPUT PARAMET	rers	Syste ▼ Select Load Profile Load Prof	ile 1 ▼ Select City	San Francisco,	California [6]	RUN T	
SYSTEM INPUTS		.oad sample values			SYSTEM LO COMPONENTS AT REFRIGERATION SYST		
Refrigerant [-]	R404A	Subcooling at Expansion Device [F]	50.4	CONDENSER	WMT COMP	CONDENSER	
System Charge [lb]	4409.25	Superheat at Evaporator Outlet [F]	65.0	RECEIVER COM	P. 0	COMP.	
Annual Leak Rate [%]	5	System Lifetime [yrs]	15		MECHANICAL	Quecco	
Refrigerant Loss-EOL [%]	15	Cut-off Temperature [F]	55.0	1	SUBCOOLER	1	
Service Leakage Rate [%]	0.05	Service Interval [year]	5	(O)	• •	D D	
	-	Load HTC values		QSUP UNE	Quetune	Gar UNE GAR UNE	
Suction Line HX Efficiency	[%] 50.0	Suction Line Temperature Increa	se [F] 50.0	I O	NTS IN DISPLAY-CASE	OR UNIT-COOLER	
Nominal Load [Btu/hr]	300000.	0 Liquid Line Temperature Decreas	se [F] 13.5	EXPANSION DEVICE		SLHE QONESHE	
COMPRESSOR				EVAPORATOR		EVAPORATOR	
Isentropic Efficiency [%]	65	RPM [-]	3600	COIL	Q		
Volumetric Efficiency [%]	80	Displacement [in <sup>3</sup> ]	7.75	QAELODOS SAECOZ		QUINCHOS	
Number of compressors [	-] 10						



## Existing Modeling Tools: GREEN-MAC-LCCP

Spreadsheet-based tool for *mobile* air conditioning systems; well established and peer reviewed

	A	В	С	D
1				
2	Baseline-R-134a	United States	United States	<b>United States</b>
3	REFRIGERANT LEAKAGES & SERVICE	Phoenix	Houston	Boston
4	(function of climate)			
5	Avg. Annual Temp (6AM-24PM)	24.3	21.1	11.0
6	Lifetime [yrs]	9	9	9
7	Refrigerant Charge [g]	550	550	550
8	Estimated loss before Service is required	200	200	200
9				
10	REGULAR and IRREGULAR			
11	Regular Leakage [g/y]	13.4	11.0	5.7
12	Irregular (Accidental) Leakage [g/y]	17	17	17
13	SERVICE			
14	Calculated Number of Services	1.4	1.3	1.0
15	Year of Recharge	6.6	7.2	8.8
16	Actual Number of Services	1	1	1
17	Leaks from Professional Service			
18	Loss in each service [g]	35	35	35
19	Loss from Can Heels per service [g]	5	5	5
20	Service loss[g/lifetime]*	40	40	40
21	Leaks from DIYers Service			
22	Loss in each service [g]	52	52	52
23	Loss from Can Heels per service [g]	108	108	108
24	Service loss[g/lifetime]*	160	160	160
25	% DIYes	25%	25%	25%
26	Weighted Leaks due to Service	70	70	70
27	END-OF-LIFE			
28	EOL with refrigerant capture[g/lifetime]	48	50	55
29	EOL without refrigerant capture[g/lifetime]	476	498	545
30	Vehicles in Collision	005	0.10	0.10
31	Weighted EOL Leaks	305	319	349
32	Assembly Plants (fixed loss) [g/lifetime]	3.5	3.5	3.5
33	TOTAL LEAKAGE LOSS	050		007
34	I otal Retrigerant Loss	652	644	627
35	Lifetime Refrigerant Charge	1202	1194	1177
36	Lifetime NEW Refrigerant	754	754	754



#### Modeling Tool Comparison and Development



International Institute of Refrigeration (IIR) Working Party on LCCP Evaluation has been established to assess different methods and to develop and promote a recommended methodology



#### Representative Model Results: LCCP (ORNL/UMCP)





#### Thank you!

A. S. (Ed) Cheng, Ph.D., P.E. Associate Professor Mechanical Engineering 1600 Holloway Ave, SCI 123 San Francisco, CA 94132 415 / 405-3486 ascheng@sfsu.edu

